IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION STAMP NO. 622 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3181 OF 2006

Shri Shrikrishna Rangnath Deokar ..Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and ors. ..Respondents

Mr. S.P. Kadam for petitioner.
Mr. R.M. Patne, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2.
Mr. R.G. Ketkar for respondent no.3.
Mr. Sagar Talekar with Mr. Rodgriques for respondent no.4.
Mr. Vinod Wagh h/f Mr. H.S. Venegavkar for respondent nos.5 and 6.

CORAM: B.H. MARLAPALLE &
J.H. BHATIA, JJ.

22/08/2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr. Kadam the learned counsel for the review petitioner. Mr. Ketkar appears for respondent no.3 and the learned AGP appears for respondent nos.1 and 2. Mr. Wagh h/f Mr. Venegavkar appears for respondent nos.5 and 6.

2. The review petition is mainly on two grounds, namely, (a) that the petitioner was appointed as a Lecturer before the Government of Maharashtra issued its GRs dated 13/6/2000 and 18/10/2001 and (b) when

the petitioner was appointed in the year 2004 he was selected by the University Constituted Selection Committee.

3. In our order dated 23/11/2006, while rejecting the review petitioner's petition, we have noted that the GR dated 18/10/2001 issued by the Government of Maharashtra had given protection to those Lecturers who were in service as on 11/12/1999 and admittedly the petitioner was not appointed on that date or prior to that date. The University Grants Commission amended the Rules and issued the notification dated 4/4/2000 and the petitioner has been appointed for the first time on 25/7/2000.

4. We are, therefore, satisfied that the grounds for review, as set out in this petition, do not make out a case to recall our order dated 23/11/2006 and consequently the review petition is rejected.

(J.H. Bhatia,J.) **(B.H. Marlapalle,J.)**